



CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF KARNATAKA
(Established by an Act of the Parliament in 2009)

**MINUTES OF THE FIRST MEETING OF THE
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL
(IQAC)**

The first Meeting of IQAC was held on 09 October, 2018 at 12.00 noon on the first floor of the Committee Room of the University. The Chairperson of the committee welcomed and invited all members to participate in the meeting.

Following members were present in the meetings besides the special invitees representing the Equal Opportunity Cell and OBC Cell, CUK.

1	Prof. H.M.Maheshwaraiah Vice Chancellor, CUK	Chairperson
2	Prof. N.Nagaraju Professor, Department of English, SHL,CUK	Member
3	Prof.M.V.Alagawadi Professor, Department of Management Studies ,SBS,CUK	Member
4	Prof. Pushpa M. Savadatti Professor, Department of Economic studies and planning, SBS,CUK	Member
5	Prof. Shivaganga Rumma Professor, Department of Kannada, SHL,CUK	Member
6	Dr.Mohammad Nazrul Bari Assistant Professor, Department of History, SSBS, CUK	Member
7	Dr. Sushma H Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, SBS,CUK	Member
8	Pro-Vice Chancellor, Central University of Karnataka	Member
9	Registrar, Central University of Karnataka	Member
10	Finance Officer, Central University of Karnataka	Member
11	Controller of Examinations, Central University of Karnataka	Member

12	Dean, Students Welfare, Central University of Karnataka	Member
13	Dr. P.S. Shankar Emeritus Professor, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Science & Dean of Academy of Respiratory Medicine, Kalaburgi, 585102	Member
14	Sri. S.S Patil, Industrialist, Post Box NO 124 Nehru Gunj Kalaburagi, pin 585104.	Member
15	Prof. Padmasree Karamala, Professor, Liaison officer ,OBC cell	Special invitee
16	Dr. Shivakumar Deene, Coordinator, Liaison officer, Equal Opportunity Cell ,CUK	Special invitee
17	Director, IQAC, Central University of Karnataka	Member Secretary

REPORTING ITEMS

Reporting Item: 01:1

To report the recommendation report of the NAAC peer team report of the accreditation held in 2016 and discuss and evolve plan of action strategies for the implementation of the recommendations of the peer team.

Resolution: 01:1

Committee discussed the recommendations of the NAAC Peer team and decided to bring to the notice of the administration to prepare time bound plan of action strategies immediately which included the specific observations and recommendations made by the NAAC peer team as follows:

- 2.1.3 © Value added programmers to be offered
- 2.1.4 (b) Feedback system on curriculum development to be strengthened
- 2.2.2 (b) Support for differentially abled students' needs to be strengthened
- 2.2.3 © Functions of IQAC needs to be strengthened
- 2.2.3 (d) IQAC to be used to improve the quality to the administration and academics
- 3.2 (a) Substantial number of vacant positions to filled
- 3.2 (b) Long term perspective plan of the university to be made clear
- 3.2 (c) Infrastructure for sports to be increased
- 3.3 (a) Recruitment and retention of quality senior faculty
- 3.3 (b) Developing world class instrumentation facilities with full maintenance
- 3.3 (c) Establishment of business incubation centres
- 3.3 (d) strengthening collaborations with foreign universities for student exchange & twinning programme
- 3.3 (e) providing adequate security in campus
- 3.4 (a) ICT applications in teaching, learning and governance
- 3.4 (b) Strengthening the consultancy services and enhancing the transfer of technologies
- 3.4 (c) Augmenting grants for research and extension form various national & international agencies
- 3.4 (d) Launching programmers catering to rural and local needs
- 3.4 (e) Accessing CSR funds
- 4.1 Faculty recruitment is taken up on priority basis and UGC scheme of adjunct and visiting faculty
be implemented to enhance the quality of teaching and research

- 4.2 Excellence in teaching and research be encouraged by instituting awards such as “best teacher and best researcher “
- 4.3 Launching need based and innovative programmes such as Travel – tourism , catering & hospitality management, new media & communication.
- 4.4 Faculty be geared for consultancy services
- 4.5 Sports and extension facilities be further enriched
- 4.6 Collaborations with leading institutions at national and international level may be strengthened
- 4.7 ERP should be implemented for effective governance
- 4.8 Regular academic audit may be conducted by appointing external experts
- 4.9 Coaching facilities and self-defence training for girls
- 4.10 Transparency in decision making, examination system and communications is strengthened.

Reporting Item: 01:2

To report the terms and conditions, evaluation criteria and targets proposed in the tripartite MOU signed between UGC, MHRD and CUK from the academic year 2018-19.

Resolution: 02:2

Committee discussed the importance of meeting the targets proposed in the MOU within the stipulated period, besides, the preparations and the need for speeding up the periodic evaluation of the progress in the targets indicated in the Tri-partite MOU by the administration.

Reporting Item: 01:3

To report the NIRF status of the Central university of Karnataka for the year 2017-18.

Resolution :03:

Committee discussed in detail the status of CUK in NIRF for the last three years and recommended participating in the forthcoming year 2019 with serious preparations with immediate effect.

AGENDA ITEMS

Agenda Item: 01:1

To consider and frame specific guidelines for setting quality benchmarks/parameters for the various academic administrative, research and extension activities of the university per the NAAC's revised criteria (1 set July 2017) for the periodic evaluation and monitoring.

Resolution :01:1

Committee discussed in detail the importance of preparing the university for the next cycle which is likely to happen in October, 2021. In the light of the discussion it was decided to recommend to the administration conducting AAA immediately so as to speed up and strengthen the preparations for submitting the self-study report. Committee recommended organizing a one-day orientation based on the revised NAAC (2017) guidelines for all the teaching and administrative staff of the university soon. Further the committee suggested forming a steering committee to facilitate the AAA.

Agenda Item: 01:2

To consider implementation of the revised AQR reporting format of NACC as per the revised guidelines dated 1 set July, 2018, for the AQR of the academic year 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 respectively.

Resolution :01:2

Committee decided to complete the AQR of the year 2016-17 and 2017-2018 as per the revised guidelines of NAAC dated 1 st July,2018, for the AQR and submit before 31 st December, 2018

Agenda Item: 01:3

To consider the Student satisfaction survey of the NAAC and teacher feedback to fill by students for approval and implementation.

Resolution :01:3:

The committee discussed the importance of implanting the SSS of NAAC and student feedback on teachers. Further the committee decided to complete the evaluation from all students at the end of all semesters with immediate effect and to collect the feedback of the last two years on annual basis from 2016

Agenda Item: 01:4

To consider the (a) UGC's 2010 regulations with further amendments and (b) 2018 regulations for preparing PBAS for the staff.

Resolution :01:4

Decided to recommend to administration, forming a committee to frame PBAS for staff based on UGC's 2010 regulations with further amendments and 2018 regulations for preparing PBAS.

Agenda Item: 01:5

To consider the (a) UGC's 2010 regulations with further amendments and (b) 2018 regulations for the CAS for the staff.

Resolution :01:5:

Decided to recommend to administration forming a committee to frame applications for CAS based on UGC's 2010 regulations with further amendments and 2018.

Agenda Item: 01:6

To discuss and suggest recommendations to higher authority on the options given to faculty in the UGC's 2018 regulations (6.3) whether to follow 2018 regulations or previous regulations and amendments for the purpose of CAS.

Resolution :01:6:

The committee discussed the various aspects of the implications of the options given to faculty in the UGC's 2018 regulations SL. No "6.3 - criteria for promotions under Career Advancement Scheme". Committee recommended that the choice of the faculty to be clearly indicated in advance or the university may follow only one criterion. Therefore, the matter is to be placed before the Academic council for discussion.

Agenda item: 01:7

The term "placement" was used for CAS order issued to Assistant professors who have been moved from stage I to II and stage II to III respectively. The teaching faculty who have been promoted recently have brought to the notice of the higher authority that the term "promotion" to be used instead of "placement" for administrative purposes. The appropriate use of the terminology to be discussed and recommended to administration for appropriate action.

Resolution :01:7

The committee discussed in detail the term "placement" which was used for CAS order issued to Assistant professors who have been moved from stage I to II and stage II to III respectively in recent year. The committee felt that the administration may seek greater clarity on this matter from the Academic Council for interpretations and further action.

The meeting was concluded at 2.00 pm. The Chairperson thanked all the members for their active participation and valuable contributions.

Name and Signature of the Chairperson